Crowfoot Creek Grazing Lease Renewal Categorical Exclusion Documentation

(CE OR115-09-10)

A. Background

BLM Office: Medford District Office

Allotment Name and Number: Crowfoot Creek # 10039

Proposed Action Title: Crowfoot Creek Grazing Lease Renewal

<u>Location of Proposed Action</u>: East of the Crowfoot Creek Road off of the Butte Falls Highway in T. 35 S., R. 1 E., Section 15 Willamette Meridian

<u>Description of Proposed Action</u>: The proposed action is to renew the Crowfoot Creek Allotment's 10 year grazing lease using the same grazing preference and Terms and Conditions as the prior lease. The Crowfoot Creek Allotment is 521 acres and has a permitted use of 28 cattle from 4/16-6/30.

B. Land Use Plan Conformance

The grazing program is implemented through provisions in the Medford Grazing Management Program Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) (April 1984) and the Rangeland Program Summary (RPS) Record of Decision (September 1984). Since 1984, additional RPS updates have been published (October 1987, October 1990, October 2001).

The proposed action is in conformance with the applicable LUP because it is specifically provided for in the following LUP decision: USDI Bureau of Land Management. 1995. Record of decision and resource management plan. Medford, OR.

C: Land Health Assessment

The allotment is meeting the following standards and conforming to guidelines (43 CFR 4180.2, Standards for Rangeland Health and Guidelines for Grazing Management for Public Lands in Oregon and Washington (1997). The following standards are being met:

Standard 1: Watershed Function- Uplands

Standard 2: Watershed Function-Riparian

Standard 3: Ecological Processes

Standard 4: Water Quality

Standard 5: Native, Threatened or Endangered (T&E) and locally important species

D: Compliance with NEPA

The Proposed Action is categorically excluded from further documentation under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) in accordance with 516 DM 11.9, as published in the Notice of Final Action to Adopt Revisions to the Bureau of Land Management's Procedures for Managing the NEPA Process, Chapter 11 of the Department of the Interior's Manual Part 516. Federal Register: Volume 72, Number 156 -August 14, 2007, pages 45503-45542. "Issuance of livestock grazing permits/leases where (a) The new grazing permit/lease is consistent with the use specified on the previous permit/lease, such that (1) the same kind of livestock is grazed, (2) the active use previously authorized is not exceeded, and (3) grazing does not occur more than 14 days earlier or later than as specified on the previous permit/lease, and (b) The grazing

allotment(s) has been assessed and evaluated and the Responsible Official has documented in a determination that the allotment(s) is (1) meeting land health standards, or (2) not meeting land health standards due to factors that do not include existing livestock grazing."

This categorical exclusion review has been conducted by an interdisciplinary team (IDT), which utilized all available allotment information to make a recommendation.

An interdisciplinary team conducted an evaluation of the Standards for Rangeland Health for this Allotment. Additionally, a Determination was made and signed on November 19, 2008 by the Authorized Officer which finds that all five Standards for Rangeland Health (Watershed Function- Uplands, Watershed Function- Riparian, Ecological Processes, Water Quality, and Native, Threatened or Endangered (T&E) and locally important species) are being met.

This categorical exclusion is appropriate in this situation because there are no extraordinary circumstances potentially having effects that may significantly affect the environment as documented in the following table. The proposed action has been reviewed, and none of the extraordinary circumstances described in 516 DM 2, Appendix 2 apply.

CX EXTRAORDINARY CIRCUMSTANCES DOCUMENTATION The proposed categorical exclusion action will:	YES	NO
2.1 Have significant impacts on public health or safety.		
2.2 Have significant impacts on such natural resources and unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources; park, recreation or refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order 11990); floodplains (Executive Order 11988); national monuments; migratory birds; and other ecologically significant or critical areas.		
2.3 Have highly controversial environmental effects or involve unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources [NEPA Section 102(2)(E)].		
2.4 Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks.		
2.5 Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects.		
2.6 Have a direct relationship to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant environmental effects.		
2.7 Have significant impacts on properties listed, or eligible for listing, on the National Register of Historic Places as determined by either the bureau or		

office.	
2.8 Have significant impacts on species listed, or proposed to be listed, on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have significant impacts on designated Critical Habitat for these species.	~
Rationale: There are no effects to federally listed SONC coho salmon or their critical the sixth field project level as a result of this action. There are no known occurrence federally listed plants defined by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USDI Fish and Service, 2003). There are no designated Critical Habitat Units (CHU) or Late Successerves (LSR) for the threatened northern spotted owl within this allotment.	ces of ad Wildlife
2.9 Violate a Federal law, or a State, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment.	~
2.10 Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations (Executive Order 12898).	V
2.11 Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on Federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or significantly adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (Executive Order 13007).	>
2.12 Contribute to the introduction, continued existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species known to occur in the area or actions that may promote the introduction, growth, or expansion of the range of such species (Federal Noxious Weed Control Act and Executive Order 13112).	*
Rationale: One diffuse knapweed population containing one plant was discovered	and pulled ir

2008. Five populations of yellow star-thistle were documented in the allotment in 2008. A large yellow star-thistle population located along a decommissioned road in the central part of section 15 has been treated over the last couple of years and was much diminished in 2008. The areas most likely to experience conversion from native perennial grasslands to exotic annual grasslands have already undergone conversion, and current stocking rates are unlikely to convert additional areas of remnant native grassland.

F: Signature

Authorizing Official:

Name: David J. Hughes

Title: Acting Resource Area Manager

G. Contact Person

For additional information concerning this CX review, contact Kimberly Hackett Rangeland Management Specialist 618-2309

Kimberly Hackett: Rangeland Management

Dulay Schuste: Rangeland Management

Dulay Schuste: Soils/Environmental Coordinator

Dulay Schuste: Soils/Environmental Coordinator

Dave Roelofs: Wildlife, T&E Animals

Marcia Wineteer: Botany, T&E Plants

Marcia Wineteer: Botany, T&E Plants

Steve Liebhardt: Aquatic, Fisheries

Chaup Cayson 1/20/08

Shawn Simpson: Hydrology, Riparian, Wetlands

Dave Knutson: Cultural Resources